

Richard Van Duzer (State Bar No. 136205)
Ruth Ann Castro (State Bar No. 209448)
Farella Braun & Martel LLP
Russ Building
235 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 954-4400
Facsimile: (415) 954-4480

Attorneys for Plaintiff
CALIFORNIA WINE COMPANY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA WINE COMPANY, a
California corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KNIGHTSBRIDGE FINE WINES, INC., a
Nevada corporation, and DOES 1 through
10,

Defendants.

Case No. C-04-01902-EDL

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~
ORDER TO EXTEND LAST DAY TO ADD
NEW PARTIES**

WHEREAS, following the initial Case Management Conference held in this case on March 29, 2005, the Court presented a pre-trial schedule providing that the last day to add new parties was June 1, 2005. That date was extended by way of a Stipulation and Order to June 9, 2005;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff California Wine Company has recently received Defendant Knightsbridge Fine Wines, Inc.'s responses to discovery which relate to Plaintiff's decision to add new parties;

WHEREAS, Defendant is considering Plaintiff's request to stipulate to the filing of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint;

1 THEREFORE, the parties, through their counsel, agree to modify the pre-trial schedule
2 with respect to the last day to add new parties, and extend that date to June 17, 2005.

3 STIPULATED AND SO AGREED:

4 I attest that concurrence in the filing of the above Stipulation has been obtained from
5 counsel for Knightsbridge Fine Wines, Inc.

6 DATED: June 9, 2005

FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP

8 By: _____/s/
Ruth Ann Castro
Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 CALIFORNIA WINE COMPANY

12 DATED: June 9, 2005

GAW VANMALE SMITH MYERS &
MIROGLIO

14 By: _____/s/
15 Bruce A. Miroglio
Attorneys for Defendant
16 KNIGHTSBRIDGE FINE WINES, INC.

18 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: June 13, 2005

21 HON. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22 ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE

